



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/824,068	04/13/2004	Luis Felipe Cabrera	MS128810.03/40062.139USC2	7004
23552	7590	12/03/2008	EXAMINER	
MERCHANT & GOULD PC			KERZINGER, ALEKSANDR	
P.O. BOX 2903			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903			2162	
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
12/03/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/824,068	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CABRERA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> ALEKSANDR KERZHNER	<b>Art Unit</b> 2162

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Aleksandr Kerzhner.

(3) Rene A. Pereyra (Reg. No. 45,800).

(2) Kuen Lu.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 21-53.

Identification of prior art discussed: Mann & Shinkai.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative discussed proposed claims and art of record. Examiner made suggestions. Applicant's proposed amendment appears to overcome current art. No agreement was reached. Applicant's representative will submit a formal response, and Examiner will act accordingly.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

**THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.** (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kuen S Lu/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2169

/Aleksandr Kerzhner/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2162